Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 10/079,489	NIETHAMMER, BERND
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy P. Solak	3746
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Restriction</u>	
(1) <u>Timothy P. Solak</u> .	(3) <u>Andrew Caldero</u>	on's assistant Karim .
(2) <u>Andrew Calderon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2003</u>	Time: <u>pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-21		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 06/13/2003, I called Andrew Calderon, to inform him of an election requirement and discovered [from his answering machine] he would be unavailable till 09/6/17/2003.

On 06/17/2003, I spoke to Andrew Calderon's assistant and informed her that an election was required and suggested it best if it were done in writing.